

INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION OF JURISTS

Commission internationale de juristes - Comisión Internacional de Juristas

" dedicated since 1952 to the primacy, coherence and implementation of international law and principles that advance human rights "

11 February 2004

President Justice Arthur CHASKALSON, South Africa

Vice-President Lord William GOODHART, United Kingdom

Executive Committee Dr. Rajeev DHAVAN, India Justice John DOWD, Australia (Chairperson) Prof. Jochen A. FROWEIN, Germany Ms Gladys Veronica LI, Hong Kong Dr. Hipolito SOLARI YRIGOYEN, Argentina Prof. Yozo YOKOTA, Japan

Other Commission Members Prof. Abdullahi AN-NA'IM, Sudan Dr. Alejandro ARTUCIO RODRIGUEZ, Uruguay Justice Solomy BALUNGI BOSSA, Uganda Ambassador Julio BARBOZA, Argentina Justice Ian BINNIE, Canada Prof. Alexander BRÖSTL, Slovakia Prof. Juan Antonio CARRILLO SALCEDO, Spain Prof. Antonio CASSESE, Italy Ms Christine CHANET, France Lord COOKE OF THORNDON, New Zealand Dato' Param CUMARASWAMY, Malaysia Dr. Dalmo DE ABREU DALLARI, Brazil Prof. Vojin DIMITRIJEVIC, Serbia and Montenegro Ms Louise DOSWALD-BECK, Switzerland Ms Vera DUARTE, Cape-Verde Prof. Paula ESCARAMEIA, Portugal Ms Elisabeth EVATT, Australia Dr. Gustavo GALLÓN GIRALDO, Colombia Mr Stellan GÄRDE, Sweden Prof. Ruth GAVISON, Israel Prof. Jenny E. GOLDSCHMIDT, Netherlands Ms Asma JAHANGIR, Pakistan Ms Asma KHADER, Jordan Prof. David KRETZMER, Israel Prof. Kazimierz Maria LANKOSZ, Poland Prof. Ewa LETOWSKA, Poland Mr Kathurima M'INOTI, Kenya Ms Karinna MOSKALENKO, Russia Dr. Adnan B. NASUTION, Indonesia Dr. Pedro NIKKEN, Venezuela Prof. Manfred NOWAK, Austria Dr. Margarita RETUERTO BUADES, Spain Prof. Andrei RICHTER, Russia Justice Michèle RIVET, Canada Sir Nigel RODLEY, United Kingdom Mr A.K.M. SADEQUE, Bangladesh Mr Claes SANDGREN, Sweden Mr Jerome SHESTACK, U.S.A Prof. Anne-Marie SLAUGHTER, U.S.A. Mr Raji SOURANI, Palestine Prof. Daniel THÜRER, Switzerland Prof. U. Oji UMOZURIKE, Nigeria Prof. Vilenas VADAPALAS, Lithuania Prof. Theo VAN BOVEN, Netherlands Dr. José ZALAQUETT, Chile

Honorable William H. Rehnquist Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States of America Supreme Court of the United States of America Washington, DC 20543 USA

The Hon. Chief Justice,

The International Commission of Jurists (ICJ) consists of jurists who represent all the regions and legal systems in the world working to uphold the rule of law and the legal protection of human rights. The ICJ's Centre for the Independence of Judges and Lawyers is dedicated to promoting the independence of judges and lawyers throughout the world.

We are writing to your Honor to express our deep concern that Justice Antonin Scalia's impartiality in *In re Richard B. Cheney* might be reasonably questioned as his recent threeday trip with Vice President Dick Cheney could be seen to amount to more than a routine social event.

As you know, on 5 January Justice Scalia met Vice President Cheney for a three-day hunting trip in a Louisiana camp. The trip took place three weeks after the Supreme Court decided to hear Mr. Cheney's appeal of an order requiring him to disclose information of an Energy Task Force he led. It has been reported that he and Justice Scalia arrived in a Government-owned plane.

Justice Scalia is reported to have met the Vice President at least once before. In November, when the Supreme Court was still considering whether to accept Mr. Cheney's appeal, the two had a private dinner with Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld.

The right to a fair trial by an independent and impartial tribunal is a fundamental human right. Article 14 of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,* to which the United States is a party, states that:

... In the determination of any criminal charge against him, or of his rights and obligations in a suit at law, everyone shall be entitled to a fair and public hearing by a competent, independent and **impartial** tribunal established by law.

While independence means that decision-makers in a given case are free to decide matters before them without any interference from any branch of Government or elsewhere, impartiality requires judges to be unbiased. In this regard, we would like to draw your attention to the *United Nations Basic Principles on Role of Lawyers Principles* which were adopted by the U.N. General Assembly in 1990. On the issue of impartiality, the Principles state:

Principle 2. *The judiciary shall decide matters before them impartially*, on the basis of facts and in accordance with the law, without any restrictions, improper influences, inducements, pressures, threats or interferences, direct or indirect, from any quarter or for any reason.

Furthermore, we would like to draw your attention to the *Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct*, which were adopted by the Round Table Meeting of Chief Justices in 2002 and noted by the UN Commission on Human Rights in resolution 2003/43. The *Bangalore Principles* further elaborate on the concept of impartiality:

2.2 A judge shall ensure that his or her conduct, both in and out of court, maintains and enhances the confidence of the public, the legal profession and litigants in the impartiality of the judge and of the judiciary.

2.5 A judge shall disqualify himself or herself from participating in any proceedings in which the judge is unable to decide the matter impartially or in which it may appear to a reasonable observer that the judge is unable to decide the matter impartially.

3.2 The behaviour and conduct of a judge must reaffirm the people's faith in the integrity of the judiciary. Justice must not merely be done but must also be seen to be done.

Thus, impartiality requires the existence of actual impartiality as well as the appearance of impartiality as seen through the eyes of the reasonable observer. In this regard, judges must ensure that their public and private conduct does not cast any doubt on their perceived impartiality.

We respectfully submit that the principle of actual and apparent impartiality of judges is also enshrined in US law. Section 455 of Title 28 of the US Code provides that:

Section 455 Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge

(a) Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his **impartiality might reasonably be questioned**.

Although a judge who has socialized with a litigant may not necessarily rule in that litigant's favor, such socializing, particularly when undertaken over a period of a few days, could be seen to compromise the judge's appearance of impartiality. Thus, even if Justice Scalia and Mr. Cheney may not have discussed Mr. Cheney's case during their three-day trip together at the camp, Justice Scalia's appearance of impartiality in the Vice President's case might reasonably be questioned.

We therefore respectfully request your Honor to ensure that the above standards on the impartiality of judges are given effect by the Supreme Court of the United States of America.

Please receive the assurances of my highest consideration.

Sincerely,

Ernst Lueber Acting Secretary-General

Cc: H.E. Ambassador Kevin Moley United States Permanent Mission to the UN Route de Pregny 11 1292 Chambésy Switzerland

Fax: 022 749 48 80

Mr. John Ashcroft Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 USA

Mr. Theodore B. Olson Office of the Solicitor General 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, D.C. ! 20530-0001