Vision

A world in which a just, democratic and peaceful society is achieved through the rule of law, the arbitrary exercise of power is prevented, rights and freedoms are expanded, and social justice is embraced.

A world in which everyone is able, without discrimination, to realize and exercise his or her civil, cultural, economic, political and social rights, and in which the rights of the most marginalized are addressed.

A world in which everyone is equal before the law and protected from human rights violations by the law and in practice, where those in power are held accountable for human rights violations, where justice is administered in accordance with due process of law, where victims have access to effective remedies and justice, and where those who come before the courts receive a fair trial and never face the death penalty.

Mission

To work for the progressive development and effective implementation of international human rights law and international humanitarian law, and to ensure that such law is utilized effectively for the protection of all people, including the most vulnerable.

To promote and extend the rule of law as a dynamic concept through which civil, cultural, economic, political and social rights are safeguarded and advanced.

To advance the understanding that the rule of law requires that States observe the principle of separation of powers, by establishing effective executive, judicial and legislative institutions and measures that serve as checks and balances, to protect the human rights of all people.

To assist judges, lawyers and prosecutors, acting under the highest ethical standards and professional integrity, to be independent, impartial, and free to carry out their professional duties.
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“Fifty-five years ago the International Commission of Jurists, then in its seventh year, met in New Delhi India and set out a vision for its own work and for the legal profession everywhere: the fulfillment and expansion of the Rule of Law in order to safeguard and advance human rights. This dynamic concept of the rule of law, embodying the preservation of existing human rights values and the widening of their reach and effectiveness, has ever since animated the ICJ’s work. The year 2013 was no exception.”

Professor Sir Nigel Rodley, ICJ President
Foreword

Fifty-five years ago the International Commission of Jurists, then in its seventh year, met in New Delhi India and set out a vision for its own work and for the legal profession everywhere: the fulfilment and expansion of the Rule of Law in order to safeguard and advance human rights. This dynamic concept of the rule of law, embodying the preservation of existing human rights values and the widening of their reach and effectiveness, has ever since animated the ICJ’s work. The year 2013 was no exception.

The ICJ believes that rights are often meaningless without remedies, and so continues to fight for access to justice for all rights at all levels. On 5 May 2013 the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights entered into force. The ICJ had long worked for the elaboration of this instrument to provide an international mechanism to remedy violations of economic, social and cultural rights. The ICJ has also continued to work to fortify and supplement the building blocks of international human rights protection, including in its work on the development of Global Principles on National Security and the Right to Information and a UN General Comment on the impact of the business sector on children’s rights.

But developing human rights is only half of the challenge. Safeguarding the existing international human rights law architecture built over decades is equally critical and requires constant vigilance. Towards that end, the ICJ has continued to increase its capacity to work on the ground, together with local lawyers and civil society.

Over recent years, the ICJ has increased its activities in a number of countries. Today the organization has an operative field presence in Egypt, Guatemala, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Peru, South Africa, Thailand, Tunisia and Zimbabwe. The ICJ’s international work is increasingly informed by its work on the ground. At the same time, work at the local level continues to benefit from the international standards, jurisprudence, and mechanisms that are the target of our international efforts.

In 2013 the ICJ undertook over 160 advocacy interventions and, with the help of its Commissioners, carried out 11 country missions. Through its seminars and workshops, it has provided tools to over 300 legal professionals, to use international law and the rule of law more effectively to support human rights.

With its expanded global presence, this year the ICJ advocated for the inclusion of important human rights standards in the new Egyptian and Tunisian constitutions; defended the International Criminal Court in Africa; countered initiatives to undermine the European Court of Human Rights; supported the prosecution of a former dictator in Guatemala; opposed the ASEAN region’s fatally flawed human rights Declaration; opposed homophobic legislation in Russia, Africa and Asia; and promoted the role of women in the judiciary in Africa and the Middle East. The ICJ published 19 reports in 2013 relating among others to human rights in Botswana, Central Asia, El Salvador, Kazakhstan, Lesotho, Moldova, Morocco, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Peru, South Sudan, Tunisia and the United Arab Emirates.

Our Commission of distinguished judges and lawyers represent all regions and legal traditions of the world and play an important role in the Secretariat’s activities. Commissioners regularly join ICJ missions, participate in conferences, engage in advocacy and provide legal advice for the ICJ’s work. Fifeteen new Commissioners joined the ICJ in 2013, including two former Judges of the European Court of Human Rights, former members of national Constitutional Courts, present and former UN Special Rapporteurs, prominent human rights defenders and a number of senior academics from leading universities.

We are delighted to have this fresh infusion of valuable expertise into the ICJ, which will help to ensure that the ICJ continues to have an authoritative legal voice in the global human rights movement.

In 2014 the ICJ will face many new challenges around the world. In Africa, the ICJ intends to build a network of lawyers protecting human rights defenders. In Asia, the ICJ will continue to fight impunity and strengthen the independence of the judiciary, as it looks to reinforce its new office in Myanmar in order to promote the human rights responsibilities of businesses. In Central America, the ICJ will continue to challenge impunity and seek to uphold the rights of indigenous communities. In Europe, the ICJ will continue to support and protect lawyers in Russia, who are working in an increasingly difficult environment. In the Middle East, the ICJ will continue working to encourage and support governments there to implement international human rights law at every opportunity.

I look forward to working with the Commission and the Secretariat – led by the Secretary-General, Wilder Tayler – to continue our six-decade quest to put the preservation and development of the rule of law and human rights, internationally and locally, at the forefront of priorities of the legal profession.

Professor Sir Nigel Rodley
ICJ President
ICJ Global Reach

“The ICJ has an ongoing commitment to supporting the development and consolidation of the rule of law and the advancement of human rights, at the domestic and international levels. The expansion of the regional offices in recent years has allowed the ICJ to extend its reach and support new initiatives towards that end.”
ICJ Strategic Directions

“The Strategic Plan, covering the period 2011 to 2015, has been the guiding framework by which all of the ICJ’s activities in 2012 have been designed and implemented. It outlines four strategic directions for the organization: Access to Justice for All; Accountability for Human Rights Violations; the Independence of Judges and Lawyers; and the Rule of Law and International Human Rights Instruments.”
1 Access to Justice for All

Access to justice is indispensable for the realization of all human rights. Even when the law protects human rights, the realization of these rights can be illusory for many people. To close this gap, the ICJ works with local partners to analyse the obstacles that limit access to justice, and undertakes legal interventions, capacity building and advocacy for legal reform. The ICJ focuses particularly on marginalized groups, especially women, LGBT persons, migrants and victims of violations of economic, social and cultural rights (ESCR).

In 2013, the ICJ worked to strengthen the capacity of judiciaries, legal practitioners and other human rights defenders around the world. The ICJ engaged in litigation aimed toward the implementation and progressive development of human rights law in jurisprudence, and advocated for legal reform with the judiciary, legislatures and executive policy makers.

The ICJ completed access to justice studies in Botswana and Kazakhstan (on women’s human rights), and Morocco, Peru and El Salvador (on ESCR and business and human rights). In Thailand, where the ICJ had published a study on women’s access to justice in 2012, a follow-up project is being carried out. The recommendations in the report, in particular with regard to necessary legal reform, are being followed up in collaboration with local partners.

The ICJ also made strategic legal interventions in emblematic cases in Guatemala to help marginalized populations. As part of its efforts to increase access to justice, the ICJ works with lawyers around the world to empower them to be better advocates for human rights. For example, in Southeast Asia, the ICJ held workshops for lawyers in Laos, Myanmar and Viet Nam.

Here are a few examples of the ICJ’s work on access to justice.

1.1 Protecting the rights of asylum seekers and migrants in Europe

Ibrahim Suso Musa, an undocumented migrant from Sierra Leone, arrived in Malta by boat on 8 April 2011, where he applied for asylum. He was immediately detained in the Safi Barracks, an administrative detention centre for undocumented migrants located in a military base, for more than six months awaiting his asylum application. After the rejection of his asylum request he was held for a further eleven months pending deportation. Ibrahim Suso Musa was, therefore, deprived of liberty for a total of 17 months in conditions that a 2011 ICJ mission considered be “degrading”. On 4 July 2012, he filed a case before the European Court of Human Rights claiming that his detention had been arbitrary and that there was no effective mechanism available to challenge its lawfulness.

Asylum seekers are particularly vulnerable because of the precariousness of their situation, the uncertainty of their prospects in life and the peril of their journey. Under international law, there is a presumption against their detention. Their prolonged detention is generally contrary to international human rights law, especially

...
if other suitable alternatives have not been taken into consideration. Under any circumstance, their detention in inappropriate places or inadequate conditions of detention is prohibited.

The ICJ submitted a third party intervention in the Suso Musa case, arguing, among other things, that the mechanism of review of the lawfulness of detention in Malta was in violation of the European Convention on Human Rights, and that, based on the mission the ICJ conducted in 2011, the conditions of detention amounted to degrading treatment.

Subsequently, the European Court of Human Rights ruled that the detention of Ibrahim Suso Musa was arbitrary, that Maltese authorities had breached his right to an effective and speedy remedy to challenge the lawfulness of his detention, and that Maltese legislation did not provide any effective mechanism to challenge detention of undocumented migrants or asylum seekers.

The ruling is an authoritative statement that the migration detention system in Malta is not in line with Malta’s obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). It is a rebuke to the system of automatic detention of undocumented migrants applied in the country. The system as it stands opens the door to substantial abuses, adding to the extraordinary strains that are faced by many asylum seekers.

The Court ordered Maltese authorities to implement the Court’s recommendations on specific measures to bring the immigration detention system up to ECHR standards. This ruling is likely to have an impact not only within the Council of Europe States and on the jurisprudence of their courts, but also on the implementation of EU law, by limiting automatic extensions of detention measures up to 18 months.

1.2 Supporting women in the judiciary in Africa

The pervasive forms of gender discrimination facing women throughout Africa include discriminatory family and personal status laws, sexual harassment and inadequate protection from gender-based violence.

A wide and varied set of strategies is necessary to improve the status of women in Africa. Legal changes and improvements in the administration of justice are only one piece of the puzzle, where judges and lawyers can act as key agents of change. They can help ensure that laws protect women’s rights and equality. When women are empowered to enforce their rights and access justice, social transformation is enabled and the inequalities and stereotypes that oppress women begin to shift.

To achieve this end, the full participation of women at all levels of the legal profession and judiciary is vital. If women are adequately represented in the judiciary their faith in the justice system increases and they are more likely to look to that system in order to claim their rights and seek legal protection. Women judges often play a significant role in law reform to address discrimination against women and
justice-sector failures, for example in responses to gender-based violence.

Women judges provide girls with important positive role models, and directly counteract negative gender stereotypes. However, in many African States there is a clear need to ensure the increased representation of women in the judiciary, where women are still very underrepresented.

In response to this need, the ICJ recently developed an initiative to promote women’s participation in the judiciary. As part of this project, the ICJ held two colloquia and a forum in Geneva. Women judges from across Africa participated in the first ICJ Colloquium on Women and the Judiciary on 12 and 13 August in Arusha, Tanzania. The Arusha colloquium was opened by the Chief Justice of Tanzania, Mohamed Chande Othman, and was hosted in partnership with the Tanzania Women Judges Association and the Judiciary of Tanzania. Thirty-five senior women judges, from over fifteen African jurisdictions, came together and shared their personal and professional experiences and challenges as women in the judiciary.

The second colloquium was held on 22 and 23 November in Tunis. The 50 participants included 36 senior women judges and a number of lawyers and human rights defenders from across the Middle East and North Africa. The event brought together women of different backgrounds from a range of countries including Bahrain, Egypt, Kuwait, Libya, Morocco, Palestine and Tunisia.

The participants underscored the personal and professional challenges faced by women judges and lawyers in the region, particularly in situations of transition, conflict and occupation, or in contexts where women’s participation in the judiciary is not possible or subject to serious restrictions. They identified recommendations for change and highlighted the need for increased cross-regional support networks and opportunities for dialogue and joint action.

Discussions were undertaken on the importance and role of women within the judiciary, judicial diversity, independence and impartiality questions affecting women judges, appointment and promotion procedures, education and training needs, the role of women judges associations, the role of the judiciary in advancing gender equality, women’s access to justice and protection of women human rights defenders.

The colloquia, the first of their kind, underlined that there is a demand for the ICJ’s work on women and the judiciary. The participants called on the ICJ to take forward the conclusions and recommendations and to repeat and expand such colloquia in future. The colloquia marked the initial phase of an ICJ initiative to support women judges, lawyers and human rights defenders in Africa, and are the start of a wider programme of work on women judges and lawyers as agents of change.

This initiative also included the 2013 Geneva Forum of Judges and Lawyers, held in December, on “Women judges as agents of change”. The event was a unique opportunity to share the real life experiences of women in the judiciary in these regions, and to explore how they can help safeguard the independence and impartiality of the judiciary and the legal profession. The Forum is convened annually by the ICJ’s Centre for Independence of Judges and Lawyers.

The ICJ expects this work to help improve access to justice for women in Africa and around the world.

1.3 Advancing economic, social and cultural rights

Economic, social and cultural rights (ESCR) include the rights to just and favourable conditions of work, an adequate standard of living, housing, food, water and sanitation, social security, health and education. Yet despite the guarantee of ESCR under international law, victims of violations of these rights still face major obstacles in accessing justice and obtaining effective remedies and reparations. The ICJ works with various actors at the national, regional and international levels to identify and address these obstacles.

In 2013, the ICJ engaged in research and advocacy in a number of countries and internationally on ESCR. The ICJ, for instance, undertook a detailed assessment of access to justice in relation to ESCR in Morocco. This engagement included a study outlining obstacles to access to justice for ESCR and setting out recommendations for reform, and was developed in collaboration with key local human rights defenders and organizations. The information gathered, and discussions carried out with local partners, in a process that began in 2012, was critical to the analysis and findings of the study. That process included a workshop in collaboration with the Moroccan Organization for Human Rights, and a research mission undertaken jointly by the ESCR-Net and the ICJ, during which there was substantial engagement with both users and providers of the justice system.

A reason for concern is the prevalence of legal provisions that discriminate against women in areas that are fundamental to ESCR, such as inheritance or family law. The inaccessibility of judicial remedies for victims of violations of ESCR for procedural reasons and the weakness of sanctions in certain cases of abuses of ESCR was another area that the ICJ report underlined.

The study also identified positive provisions in the 2011 Constitution that should help better guarantee and protect ESCR.

The study was released in November 2013, and was shared with local authorities and civil society partners who have been involved in the process. The ICJ, working with and through local partners, will now promote its findings and advocate for the required steps to be taken with governmental and non-governmental actors.
El Salvador was another important focus country in 2013. The ICJ conducted a study identifying obstacles that prevent effective access to justice. Similar to the process in Morocco described above, the study Acceso a la Justicia – Recursos contra la violaciones de los derechos sociales en El Salvador is the outcome of a research and consultation process that started in 2012 and engaged a diverse range of government and civil society actors.

The ICJ believes these studies and their follow up will help to increase the awareness of the importance of ESCR in Morocco and El Salvador. This work at the national level has fed into the international advocacy work that the ICJ pursued in 2013, which has helped to place the issue of access to justice for ESCR on the agenda of the UN Human Rights Council. This increased international attention to access to justice for ESCR is critical for the development of stronger standards and effective mechanisms for the protection of persons from ESCR violation and the realization of these rights. In addition, it will contribute to the increased ratification of the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, that will allow victims of ESCR violations that have been unable to obtain justice at the national level to complain to the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Already, as a result of this work, a Council resolution mandated that the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights produce a general report on the issue of access to justice for ESCR that will serve as a basis for future State action.

In addition, the ICJ study on access to justice in El Salvador has been referred to and relied upon by the National Human Rights Institution (Procuraduría para la Defensa de los Derechos Humanos) in its own submission to the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights on the occasion of the periodic review of the State’s performance in realizing ESCR.

1.4 Innovative legal tools to fight discrimination and violence based on sexual orientation and gender identity

Although international human rights law protects individuals from discrimination and violence, people around the world still suffer systematic violations of their rights on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity (SOGI). For example, in more than 80 countries, same-sex sexual relationships are criminalized. In at least ten of these countries, the penalty includes death. SOGI rights activists also face severe restrictions on their freedoms of speech and peaceful assembly, often by laws intended to prevent advocacy on SOGI rights.

Widespread ostracism and stigmatization mean that basic services, including education and healthcare, are often denied on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity. Hate crimes, including sexual assaults and murder, go unpunished. The ICJ sees a clear need for action because of the gap between the protections afforded by international law and the reality of people’s lives. The ICJ works for the progressive development of international human rights law to ensure that there is no protection gap in respect of the rights of LGBT (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender) persons, as well to ensure that the principles of non-discrimination and equal protection are
applied to situations where such persons are subject, or vulnerable, to violations.

The ICJ fights SOGI-based violence and discrimination in a number of ways. The ICJ supports the use of international human rights law by domestic human rights defenders through training and workshops, participates in litigation through amicus briefs or expert opinions in domestic and international courts, and develops legal tools for use by practitioners.

In 2013, the ICJ launched two new important legal tools: the SOGI UN Database and the SOGI Legislative Database. The SOGI UN Database comprehensively gathers the Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity-related commentary and jurisprudence of the UN human rights system in one easily accessible database.

The Legislative Database is the result of a yearlong pilot project undertaken in collaboration with the International Human Rights Program at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law. Student researchers gathered and analyzed laws from twenty-four countries covering all regions of the world. The purpose of the database is to provide, in an accessible format, primary sources of law for use in both advocacy and conceptual work, including comparative studies and legislative reform efforts.

Organizations defending SOGI rights have declared the ICJ legal tools to be extremely useful in their engagement with the UN human rights bodies and mechanisms.

Both databases were created with the assistance of HURIDOCS, an international NGO helping human rights organizations use information technologies and documentation methods to maximize the impact of their advocacy work.

2 Accountability for Human Rights Violations

Fighting to end impunity – by ensuring that persons responsible for serious human rights violations and crimes under international law are brought to justice, and effective remedy and reparation are provided to the victims – is essential to the mission of the ICJ. In addition, it is critical for both the victim, and society as whole, that the truth about such violations is made known.

The ICJ makes strategic legal interventions (in the form of amicus curiae or other third party interventions) on its own initiative or at the request of its partners. The ICJ supports the work of lawyers and human rights defenders in building cases against human rights abusers. Support from the ICJ ensures that victims’ representatives can use human rights law and standards effectively in their efforts to combat impunity.

The ICJ also contributes to reform of the judiciary, and other justice institutions, so that they are better able to fight impunity, and advocates for law reform in focus countries to hold human rights violators accountable.

In 2013 the ICJ began a project based in Peru to be carried out in partnership with the Peruvian Forensic Anthropology Team (EPAF). The project involves the investigation of cases of enforced disappearances.

The ICJ conducted research and legal analysis concerning strategic and emblematic cases, as informed by the context and the local communities. This work provides advice and assistance in promoting accountability and access to remedies and reparation for victims, families and their representatives. In addition to legal advice, the ICJ helps to document evidence that is needed in judicial proceedings.

Also in 2013, the ICJ criticized and sought to prevent the implementation of amnesty laws and other measures that prevent the holding to account of perpetrators of serious human rights and international humanitarian law violations. Such measures serve to establish or entrench a culture of impunity.

Activities on accountability for human rights violations were undertaken in several countries including: Egypt, Guatemala, Honduras, Nepal, Myanmar, Pakistan, Peru, Thailand and Tunisia. Here are a few examples of ICJ’s work.

2.1 The trial of General Efrain Rios Montt

In Guatemala, the ICJ intervened in the trial of General Efrain Rios Montt, who was convicted on 10 May for ordering the deaths of 1,771 individuals of the Ixil Maya ethnic group when he was Head of State between 1982 and 1983. The ICJ played an important role in the trial, presenting expert testimony on violations of international humanitarian law and the legal scope of crimes against humanity, war crimes and genocide.

The court’s ruling adopted many of the ICJ’s legal arguments, and acknowledged that the crime of genocide had been committed.

The ICJ advocated for the rights of victims to truth and justice in the case. During the trial, the ICJ provided legal advice on international law and standards, including due process standards. The ICJ further drew attention to the abusive use of legal motions and other tactics used by the defense in an attempt to nullify or impair the conduct of the trial.

The High Court was able to administer justice fairly, ensuring respect for the right to fair trial and guaranteeing the rights of defense of the accused. The Court resisted the pressures to which it was subject during the trial, which included threats to the personal integrity of members of the Tribunal.
“Huallina” woman contemplating her community. The district of Huallina is located in the province of Víctor Fajardo, on the left bank of the Pampas river, in the center-south of Ayacucho, Peru. In Huallina there are more than 80 cases of forced disappearances, many of them presumably perpetrated by the Peruvian army, which settled military bases in the area during the internal armed conflict of 1980-2000.
Unfortunately, the conviction was partially overturned just two weeks later, which was a significant setback. Nevertheless, the high Court ruling was in itself important because after 30 years, and much effort on the part of victims’ advocates and the ICJ, the right of the victims to know the truth was honored. The ICJ will continue to work in Guatemala and in the region to fight impunity and seek justice for victims.

2.2 Combating impunity in Egypt

Impunity for human rights violations in Egypt is widespread. The international community has pushed authorities to initiate prompt, thorough, independent and impartial investigations into past and ongoing human rights abuses, including cases of arbitrary detention, torture and ill-treatment, and extrajudicial executions.

The ICJ has advocated that the Egyptian authorities hold those responsible for gross human rights violations accountable and establish a mechanism during the time of transition to address the legacy of past human rights abuses, while ensuring that impunity does not become entrenched.

In April, the ICJ undertook a mission to Egypt to assess the situation of the rights of victims of gross human rights violations to a remedy and reparation, and to examine the measures being taken by the Egyptian authorities to address the legacy of human rights abuses and to ensure accountability. ICJ Commissioner Kalthoum Kennou, of the Tunisia Court of Cassation, led the mission.

The ICJ gathered first-hand information and engaged with the local authorities on law and policy reforms needed to end impunity and guarantee accountability for human rights abuses.

The current programmes have failed so far to provide accountability for past and ongoing human rights violations. The few proceedings initiated against State officials have resulted in acquittals or sentences that are not commensurate with the gravity of the crimes committed.

The ICJ also held a conference on impunity and the right of victims to a remedy and to reparation. Judges from Egypt and other countries, lawyers, prosecutors and parliamentarians, as well as members of civil society and relevant governmental bodies, discussed the best strategies to overcome the obstacles that undermine the rights of victims to a remedy and to reparation. The conference helped the ICJ to better identify and understand the gaps in the Egyptian legal framework and criminal justice system that underpin the climate of impunity that prevails in that country.

The ICJ expects the information gathered from the mission and conference to help fight impunity in Egypt over the course of its project on accountability in the country, which will be implemented during the next two years.

2.3 The case of Madhav Kumar Basnet in Nepal

A system of statutory immunities in Nepal, compounded by the lack of political will, has so far prevented victims of human rights violations from obtaining effective remedy and reparation. During Nepal’s decade-long civil war, serious human rights abuses were committed by government security forces as well as armed Maoist insurgents, with an estimated 17,000 persons killed, and over 1,300 subject to enforced disappearance. Up to now, no one has been convicted for any conflict-related human rights violations.

Three successive governments between 2008 and 2012 have withdrawn more than 1055 criminal cases in the district courts, with many cases involving allegations of unlawful killings, torture and ill-treatment, including rape and other sexual violence. While the political identity of the government has changed the culture of impunity remains the same. Political parties exploit a well-established system of political privilege and embedded impunity for personal interest and political gain. None of the major political parties have made any tangible commitments to address accountability for human rights meaningfully, and thus other means of affecting change, such as the case at hand, were necessary.

The ICJ has repeatedly called on Nepal’s various governments to ensure that legislation establishing transitional justice mechanisms conform to international standards. The case of Madhav Kumar Basnet v the Government of Nepal was brought by a coalition of human rights defenders, with the assistance of the ICJ. In a landmark decision, Nepal’s Supreme Court struck down an executive Ordinance of 14 March 2013 aimed to institutionalize impunity for the serious human rights violations and crimes arising out of the armed conflict that took place in the country between 1996 and 2006.

In its ruling of 2 January 2014, the Court held the Ordinance to be in violation of Nepal’s Constitution, international human rights law and accepted principles of justice. Moreover, according to the Court, the Ordinance posed an obstacle to the goals of transitional justice. The Court also addressed the deficits of the Ordinance, including the absence of supporting legislation, and administrative reform that would be required for a credible transitional justice process. The judgment, while recognizing the importance of reconciliation in Nepal’s transitional process, affirms that such reconciliation must not be built on a foundation of impunity for serious crimes and human rights abuses.

The Nepali Supreme Court ruled that the mechanism and procedures in a time of transitional justice are a complement to, not a replacement for, criminal law. The Court’s decision is a landmark not just for Nepal but also for international law globally.
3 Independence of Judges and Lawyers

An independent judiciary and legal profession are indispensable to the rule of law and the effective protection of human rights. Judges and lawyers who fulfill their role as protectors of human rights make essential contributions to the fair administration of justice and the enforcement of accountability.

In 2013, the ICJ assisted judges and lawyers in implementing and acting according to international standards of independence, competence and integrity, while protecting them from persecution and undue influence, and ensuring legal frameworks provide for their independence. This work required capacity-building, networking and protection initiatives.

The ICJ focused on countries where the organization has field presences (including Egypt, Guatemala, Nepal, Thailand, Tunisia and Zimbabwe) as well as Bulgaria, El Salvador, Honduras, Kazakhstan, Lesotho, Libya, Morocco, Myanmar, Pakistan, Russia, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Venezuela, Uganda, Uruguay, Uzbekistan and Zambia.

In Africa, the ICJ hosted the annual meeting of the Southern Africa Chief Justices Forum, which it has supported since 2008. The theme for the 2013 conference was The quest for an efficient judicial system as a key to democratic and economic development. More than 80 judges from Southern and Eastern Africa attended the conference in Zambia.

The ICJ also organized an induction seminar for newly appointed judges in the South African Development Community (SADC) region. The judges in attendance expressed their appreciation for the way in which the seminar helped to identify some of the challenges encountered in the administration of justice and how these could be addressed.

These symposia and seminars provide a means for leading jurists in the region to work collaboratively towards judicial reform. For example, the ICJ was called upon to undertake a mission to Lesotho, led by three former African Chief Justices, to gather facts concerning a crisis in judicial leadership in the Kingdom of Lesotho. The resulting ICJ report emphasizes the necessity of an independent and stable judiciary for the Kingdom of Lesotho and highlights the need to address the problems afflicting the judiciary and the administration of justice.

To adequately address these problems, and affect systemic change, judicial officials must be accountable to the Constitution, and the legal framework governing the administration of justice must be reformed to restore the integrity of, and trust in, the judiciary as an institution of justice, a perception that may have been damaged by the judicial crisis. As a result of the mission and the report, the Lesotho Government have agreed to implement a number of ICJ recommendations.

Here are a few examples of the ICJ’s work on the independence of judges and lawyers in 2013:

3.1 Protecting lawyers in Central Asia

Human rights lawyers in Central Asia often face harassment, persecution or undue pressure while carrying out of their professional functions, and in particular when defending the human rights of individuals. In response to threats and persecutions, and in co-operation with local partners, the ICJ work in the region has focused on protecting the independence of the legal profession, defending lawyers who face harassment in their work, and building the capacity of lawyers to apply international human rights law and standards.

In 2013, the ICJ held a two-day seminar in Almaty, Kazakhstan, on the independence of the legal profession. Central Asian defence lawyers discussed the obstacles they face in relation to freedoms of association, the independence of the bar associations and threats, harassment and impediments to their work. The seminar helped raise the lawyers’ capacity in applying international standards that protect them from harassment and attack.

The seminar provided a basis for the ICJ’s subsequent report on the independence of the legal profession in Central Asia. Its recommendations were taken forward in ICJ’s advocacy work, which included a mission to Tajikistan on proposed reforms to the organization of the legal profession. The seminar also helped to deepen the ICJ’s connections with lawyers’ associations in the region. The project depended on the contacts and co-operation built over several years with local partners.

After the seminar, several lawyers sought ICJ’s support and advice in cases involving human rights violations. Responding to the demands for assistance, the ICJ conducted trial observations aimed to assess whether fair trial standards had been observed and to protect human rights lawyers.

In one such case, on 6 December 2013, the ICJ observed a hearing in the case of lawyers Lyubov Agushevic and Polina Zhukova, from Kazakhstan, who were disbarred following disciplinary proceedings initiated by the Ministry of Justice regarding their defence of a client in court proceedings.

The alleged misconduct, which led to the disbarment of the lawyers, in fact consisted of entirely appropriate professional activity in discharge of their responsibility to defend their client in judicial proceedings. However, the presiding judge interpreted their defence tactics as violations of professional ethics, and these tactics were later used as grounds for the termination of their licenses to practice law. After the ICJ intervention, the Supreme Court upheld the request of the lawyers to reconsider the issue of the lawfulness of their disbarment.

The ICJ intervened in a number of other cases; thus far the ICJ’s actions have had an impact in having disciplinary proceedings dismissed, discontinued or overturned on appeal. The presence of international observers appeared to have positively influenced the conduct and eventual outcome of these cases.
3.2 Right to counsel: the independence of lawyers in Myanmar

In Myanmar, lawyers continue to encounter impediments to the exercise of their professional functions and freedom of association, as well as pervasive corruption.

In 2013 the ICJ released a report titled Right to Counsel: The Independence of Lawyers in Myanmar. The report presents a snapshot of the independence of lawyers in private practice in Myanmar in the context of the country’s rapid and on-going transition. The report, based on interviews with 60 lawyers in practice in the country, highlights a number of difficulties in respect of the independence and effective function of the legal profession in the country. Yet, despite continuing problems, the ICJ found that authorities have significantly decreased their obstruction of, and interference in, legal processes since the country began political reforms in 2011.

While progress made in terms of freedom of association, and respect for the legal process is apparent, lawyers still face heavy restrictions and attacks on their independence, which can result in uncertainty and fear, particularly when it comes to politically sensitive issues. Systemic corruption continues to affect every aspect of a lawyer’s career. Corruption is so embedded in the legal system that it is taken for granted.

To address these problems, the ICJ has recommended that the Bar Council be reformed to ensure its independence; a specialized, independent mechanism be created with the mandate to promptly and effectively investigate allegations of corruption; and legal education be improved by bolstering standards of admission to law school, law school curricula, and instruction and assessment of students.

The ICJ’s report has already begun to have an impact on the Myanmar judiciary. Members have discussed the report, and its recommendations, during their national gathering of judges in early 2014. Several of the Supreme Court judges, senior District Judges and Director Generals had read the report, and discussed its findings and recommendations with the ICJ.

In early 2014, the ICJ established a presence in Myanmar and embarked on an extensive programme of capacity building of the judiciary and the legal profession.

3.3 Pakistan: the legacy of Chief Justice Chaudhry

The government and military in Pakistan have long failed to protect and respect the rights of millions of people in Pakistan. During his eight years as Chief Justice, Justice Chaudhry has helped transform the Supreme Court of Pakistan into a robust institution capable of exercising its power independently and impartially, safeguarding the Constitution, and acting as a check on the power of other institutions of the State. One of the Supreme Court’s main tools in this regard has been the unique authority to exercise its “original jurisdiction” to hear
important matters relating to human rights, as granted under Article 184(3) of the Pakistan Constitution.

In 2013, the ICJ released the study, *Authority without accountability: the search for justice in Pakistan*, which presents a detailed assessment of the Pakistan Supreme Court’s human rights jurisprudence during the tenure of Chief Justice Chaudhry, who completed his eight-year term on 12 December 2013.

The ICJ’s close analysis of the Supreme Court’s jurisprudence during Chief Justice Chaudhry’s tenure shows that in some instances the Supreme Court has been able to improve awareness of human rights violations and has strengthened the right of victims to achieve remedy and reparations.

The Court has tried to provide accountability for corruption and human rights violations by the civilian government, and has taken a firm stance against unconstitutional usurpation of power by the military. In doing so, the Court has brought Pakistan closer to fulfilling some of its obligations under international human rights law.

Despite the progress, concerns remain about how the Court has exercised its judicial authority in protecting and promoting human rights, and a lack of transparency has blunted the Court’s achievements, undermining the principles of rule of law that the Court seeks to uphold. Much progress is still required before civilian and military authorities in Pakistan are truly brought to justice for human rights violations.

But the ICJ hopes that the Pakistani judiciary can be a model for other countries facing similar challenges.

3.4 UAE 94 trial observation

Trial observations serve as a basis for a broader analysis of the administration of justice in a country, including an assessment of the actual functioning, qualifications, and training of judges and other justice system actors. The ICJ thus monitors important trials to evaluate the independence of the tribunals and the fairness of the proceedings in light of relevant international standards.

In October 2013, the ICJ attempted to observe the trial of the United Arab Emirates 94 (UAE 94) in Abu Dhabi, but was denied access as part of an overall strategy by authorities to obstruct the right to a public trial. The trial was conducted amidst a crackdown on individuals calling for peaceful political reform in the UAE. Activists in the country continue to be arrested, detained, prosecuted and convicted for the lawful exercise of their rights to freedom of expression, association and assembly.

On 2 July 2013, 69 individuals were found guilty of “establishing, founding and administering an organization, with the aim of challenging the basic principles upon which the government of the State is based, taking control of the government and establishing a secret structure for the organization”. The trial failed to comport with international law and standards governing fair trials.

Fifty-six of the detainees were sentenced to ten years’ imprisonment, five of them to seven years’ imprisonment, and eight others, who were tried in absentia, to fifteen years’ imprisonment. The remaining 25 accused were acquitted.

The ICJ report, *Mass convictions following an unfair trial: The UAE 94 case*, documented a catalogue of violations of fair trial rights, and other serious human rights violations, committed against the accused.

Most of the detainees were held in secret pre-trial detention, including prolonged incommunicado detention and solitary confinement. Their right of access to family members and to a lawyer during the first months of detention was denied, and most of the detainees were unable to challenge the lawfulness of their detention. The detainees also reported being subjected to torture and other ill-treatment, including severe beatings, sleep deprivation, exposure to extreme light during the day and night, death threats, and other threats and verbal abuse.

The rights of the accused to defence were also severely restricted, including by denying defence lawyers’ access to detainees in pre-trial detention, in particular during interrogation; delaying their access to case files until a few days before the trial; subjecting them to various forms of intimidation and harassment, including the threat of criminal prosecution; and severely curtailing their right to cross-examine witnesses.

The UAE authorities have failed to investigate these human rights abuses. Instead, they have continued their crackdown on political activists and government critics, including those that have publicly spoken out in support of the UAE 94 or against the conditions of detention of those imprisoned.

Thanks to the work of the ICJ, and other international NGOs monitoring the situation, the trial received significant media attention.

The ICJ continues to monitor the situation in the UAE and advocates for the release of those arrested because of the legitimate exercise of their rights to the freedom of expression, association and assembly, including those convicted in the context of the UAE 94 case.

4 Rule of Law and International Human Rights Instruments

In spite of substantial advances in human rights protection over the past decades, the UN human rights system has often fallen short in delivering meaningful results. Together with its many local and international partners, the ICJ is actively engaged with a range of bodies and mechanisms, including the UN Human Rights Council and its special procedures, and the UN human rights treaty bodies, among
others. The ICJ helps to reinforce and protect those mechanisms that come under pressure, and works to establish new ones where these do not yet exist. The ICJ intervenes to enhance the effectiveness of the UN and regional human rights systems, applying lessons learned, and undertaking analysis of the improvements needed for effective domestic, regional and international enforcement. The ICJ conducts international legal interventions founded on this assessment.

In 2013, the ICJ pursued its engagement at the UN with the Human Rights Council, and focused on cooperation with regional instruments.

In particular, the ICJ engaged with the European Court of Human Rights, the ASEAN mechanisms, the African Court on Human and People’s Rights, the SADC Tribunal and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights.

Through its engagement and its expertise in international law, the ICJ strives for the progressive development of international law and standards, and international mechanisms for their effective implementation.

Domestic law and standards influence the development of standards at the international level. Conversely, international law and standards influence those developed at the national level. The ICJ’s domestic work therefore informs its work at the UN and regional mechanisms, while its work with these international mechanism also informs its work and that of its partners in the focus countries.

The ICJ works to empower lawyers and human rights defenders to effectively use international human rights mechanisms, and to increase the understanding of national judiciaries relating to the use of international human rights law and standards.

Here are a few example of the ICJ’s work:

4.1 Adjudicating economic, social and cultural rights

On 5 May 2013, the ICJ welcomed the entry into force of the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (OP-ICESCR). The entry into force of the new instrument, which allows the adjudication of alleged violations of economic, social and cultural rights by the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, marks a historic boost to the international protection of these rights.

The ICJ, and its partners in the International NGO Coalition for the OP-ICESCR, continue to engage substantively with the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and are working to make the new procedures offered an effective tool for victims who could not achieve justice in their domestic justice systems. The ICJ also supports initiatives to promote ratification of the OP-ICESCR, including in Africa.

As a part of the NGO Coalition, the ICJ conducted a support mission to promote the ratification of the Protocol in West Africa. Although the group of African States played a fundamental role in the elaboration of the Protocol, none of them have yet ratified this instrument. When ratified, the instrument will give victims of violations of ESCR recourse to have their case examined by the UN Committee in charge.

On 21 and 22 October in Cotonou, Benin, the ICJ supported national actors (including the Coalition béninoise pour les DESC, Amnesty International-Benin, the Chaire UNESCO-Benin) in organizing a high-level roundtable discussion to welcome the signature by the State of Benin of the Optional Protocol to the ICESCR on 24 September 2013, and to encourage the State to move quickly towards the ratification of the instrument.

Also in October 2013, with the support of the International Coalition, a group of national civil society groups organized in Sokodé (Togo) a national gathering to discuss the international standards on ESCR and the promotion and protection mechanisms for these rights, including the procedures under the Optional Protocol. Fifty-two participants from more than thirty civil society organizations from all parts of the country took part in this event. Following the discussions, the organizers established an action plan for the promotion and protection of ESCR in the country, including the monitoring of key recommendations of the CESCR and the ratification of the Optional Protocol.

The ICJ and its international NGO partners see the entry into force of the Optional Protocol as a significant step forward toward the objective of achieving access to justice for victims of ESCR violations. The ICJ will continue to work alongside international and local partners to develop and implement action plans to promote ratification of the Optional Protocol by other States.

4.2 Protecting children from abuse by businesses

According to the UN, the business sector’s impact on children’s rights has grown in past decades because of factors such as the increased globalization of business operations. Thus it is necessary for States to have adequate legal and institutional frameworks in place to respect, protect and fulfill children’s rights, and to provide remedies in case of violations in the context of business activities and operations.

According to the Committee on the Rights of the Child, violations of children’s rights, such as exposure to violence or environmental hazards may have life-long, irreversible, and even trans-generational consequences. Children are often politically voiceless and lack access to relevant information. They are reliant on governance systems, over which they have little influence, to have their rights protected and realized. This makes it difficult for them to have a say in decisions regarding laws and policies that impact their rights. In the process of decision-making, States may not adequately consider the effects on children of business related laws and policies. Conversely, the business sector often exerts a powerful influence on decisions without reference to children’s rights.

To help protect children from business abuses, the ICJ, in a collaborative process spanning nearly two years, worked with the UN Committee on
the Rights of the Child to elaborate a General Comment on the impact of the business sector on children’s rights, adopted in February 2013. The General Comment 16 clarifies the standards under the Convention on the Rights of the Child, and provides much needed guidance for States to better protect the rights of the child against business abuse.

The Committee is the first UN human rights treaty body to address this issue directly in a General Comment.

For General Comment 16 to become an effective tool, in the protection and realisation of the rights of the child, States and other stakeholders need to fully understand and put into practice its guidance and recommendations.

To improve the understanding of the General Comment the ICJ, in coordination with the Committee, UNICEF and other non-governmental organizations, will hold workshops and develop guides, and alternative reports, which will be submitted to the CRC in advance of the Committee’s examination of a number of states.

4.3 Tshwane Principles on National Security and the Right to Information

The Tshwane Principles on National Security and the Right to Information is a new international instrument, which aims to protect the right to information in the context of national security. The Principles seek to ensure public access to information held by governments, without jeopardizing lawful means to protect people from legitimate security threats. They resulted from a process facilitated by the Open Society Justice Initiative in partnership with the ICJ and some 20 other civil society and academic organizations, with consultation of some 500 experts from 70 countries, including government and former security officials, human rights defenders, and scholars.

The Principles provide in detail the standards to be applied when freedom of information conflicts with a real or purported national security risk, particularly when States seek to shield information from public disclosure. The Principles seek to address the growing inclination of governments to keep critical information from public purview, including information related to human rights violations and criminal conduct by officials and transnational corporations. The Tshwane Principles make clear that restrictions on access must be strictly necessary, proportionate and prescribed by law. Under no circumstances may information be withheld in relation to gross human rights and serious violations of international humanitarian law. The Principles are based on international and national law and standards, good practices, and advice from the commentary of experts. The partner organizations worked closely with four international special rapporteurs on freedom of expression and the media from the UN, the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights, the Organization of American States (OAS), and the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), as well as with the UN Special Rapporteur on Counter-Terrorism and Human Rights. The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe has endorsed the principles.
“Throughout the years, the International Commission of Jurists has established a voluminous and valuable archive, with close to 5000 paper documents. Through a new project launched in 2013, the ICJ is now making these archives visible and accessible to all on its website.”
Communications

In 2013, the Media and Communications Unit launched a new project – The Archive Project – with the main objective to make relevant material in the ICJ archive easily accessible to both the ICJ staff and external audiences, including researchers.

Throughout the years, the ICJ has established a voluminous and valuable archive, with close to 5000 paper documents. The project will ensure that these archives are preserved in a secure way and make selected material from the archives available on the ICJ website.

In 2013 a total of around 400 public reports and 400 issues of ICJ periodicals were scanned, digitalized and posted on the ICJ Website.

This highly relevant material existed in one, or only a small number of copies in the archives, while individual publications were accessible at a small number of specialized libraries in Western Europe and North America.

Now they are accessible, in PDF format, on the ICJ Website. Each document was summarized, and indexed by type of document, themes of interest to the ICJ, topics covered, and geographical scope, relevant international and regional mechanisms as well as languages.
Financial Report

“Thanks to the trust of ICJ’s donors, the ICJ has continued to expand its work and presence on the ground. New demands and opportunities contributed to the opening of new offices among others in Myanmar and Zimbabwe, which require a strong and reliable administrative backup.”
**Financial Report**

The ICJ’s financial situation at the end of 2013 is stable: the yearly expenditure was CHF 8,622,088 and the result indicates a surplus of CHF 139,352, which is added to the reserve cumulated in past years.

The ICJ is committed to improving its operational efficiency and to ensuring quality and transparency in its financial reporting. In 2012, the Executive Committee approved the transition to Swiss GAAP FER/RPC norms, to be implemented as of 2014.

The year 2013 is therefore marked by a transition from the previous accounting system to the new. To allow a comparison with 2012, this year’s financial data has been presented within the structure used for 2012.

The total amount of Grants receivable at the end of 2013, amounting to CHF 11,723,601, corresponds to the total value of contracts signed until 31.12.2013 and committed, but not yet received, funds.

In the Profit and Losses statement of 2013, the amount of CHF 16,170,082 represents the amount of overall commitment of funds based on all contracts signed during the year 2013. It includes CHF 13,654,921 of restricted funds and CHF 2,515,161 of unrestricted (core) funds. The same data for 2012 considers only contributions used during the year.

In 2013 actual exchange rate gains and losses have been closely monitored, and an overall gain of CHF 7,371 has been realized.

Finally, an important source of income, which is not accounted for, is the pro-bono time that ICJ Commissioners and other experts are willing to dedicate to the many activities and initiatives this organization undertakes, such as visits to specific countries, participation on panels and at capacity-building seminars, expert reviews and advocacy.

### Balance sheet

**Expressed in CHF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash &amp; cash equivalents</td>
<td>1,758,575</td>
<td>1,627,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants receivable</td>
<td>11,723,202</td>
<td>5,808,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepayments and other receivables</td>
<td>117,350</td>
<td>81,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIJ-IE AISBL Current Account</td>
<td>138,474</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,737,601</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,291,625</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed assets</td>
<td>426,947</td>
<td>474,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets</td>
<td>65,336</td>
<td>64,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total non-current assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>492,283</strong></td>
<td><strong>539,030</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,229,884</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,830,655</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Liabilities | | |
| Current Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | 1,132,975 | 383,555 |
| Contributions received during the year carried forward | 11,634,811 | 271,364 |
| Restricted Contributions received in advance for subsequent years | 500,671 | 1,310,101 |
| Accrued liabilities | 89,733 | 116,742 |
| Unrealised gain on Foreign Exchange | - | 3,438 |
| Provisions | 70,345 | 26,572 |
| Short-term lease liabilities | 19,910 | - |
| **Total current liabilities** | **13,522,095** | **2,163,962** |

| Long-term Liabilities | | |
| Lease liabilities | 30,426 | 125,520 |
| Provisions for depreciation | 115,789 | 118,951 |
| **Total long-term liabilities** | **146,215** | **244,471** |

| Reserve | 561,574 | 422,222 |

| **Total Liabilities & Reserves** | **14,229,884** | **2,830,655** |
Statement of financial performance

Expressed in CHF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contributions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor's Commitments</td>
<td>16 170 082</td>
<td>10 200 378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments carried forward</td>
<td>(7 546 042)</td>
<td>(271 364)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions received in advance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1 310 101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor contributions utilised in the year</td>
<td>8 624 040</td>
<td>8 618 913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Revenue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous income</td>
<td>35 379</td>
<td>51 035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial income</td>
<td>1 052</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange gain</td>
<td>7 371</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>93 598</td>
<td>9 996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Income</td>
<td>8 761 440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expenditure |           |
| Direct Projects Costs |           |
| Consultancy fees | 1 110 369 | 1 039 479 |
| Meeting & travel costs | 1 582 641 | 2 024 866 |
| Printing & distribution | 137 159 | 133 117 |
| Staff Costs | 4 689 961 | 4 347 205 |
| Depreciation | 107 159 | 84 849 |
| Other Expenditure |           |
| Office premises | 669 027 | 556 716 |
| Postage & telecommunication | 102 431 | 104 698 |
| Website, documentation & communication | 75 871 | 23 775 |
| Financial expenses | 46 901 | 50 528 |
| Other expenses | 100 569 | 46 643 |
| Total Expenses | 8 622 088 | 8 411 876 |
| Surplus for the year | 139 352 | 268 942 |
| Reserve as of January 1 | 422 222 | 153 280 |
| Reserve as of December 31 | 561 574 | 422 222 |
List of Outputs

“Throughout its 60-year history, the International Commission of Jurists has worked to advance human rights standards. For example with regard to economic, social and cultural rights (ESC rights), the ICJ realizes its mission, from promoting the broadest possible ratification of the Optional Protocol to the ICESCR, to building the capacity of judges, lawyers and human rights defenders in the legal protection of these rights in Africa and Central America, to supporting litigation efforts to realize the right to food in Guatemala.”
List of Outputs

Africa

Advocacy and other promotional work

16 January ICJ statement expressing concerns over the systematic clamping down of HRDs by the Zimbabwe Republic Police (ZRP)
17 January ICJ statement on attacks on human rights defenders in Zimbabwe by the Law and Order Section of the ZRP
6 February Induction programme for newly appointed judges in Zimbabwe
15 February ICJ expressed concern at reports that the ZRP had carried out raids against human rights defenders
15 February Letter to the Nigerian President to ensure enforcement of ruling in case related to oil pollution in the Niger Delta
7 March Mission on the independence of judiciary in the Kingdom of Lesotho
10 June Event on the challenges to the rule of law in Zimbabwe in the context of the upcoming elections
13 July Law Society of Zimbabwe Winter School event
24 July Event in Lesotho to discuss the report on the Politics of Judicial Independence in Lesotho
25 July Panel on the protection of the rights of persons in rural areas
3 August ICJ co-hosts the annual general meeting and conference of the Southern Africa Chief Justices Forum in Zambia.
13 August Colloquium on Women and the Judiciary in Tanzania
30 August Induction seminar for new judges in southern Africa
20-27 October Workshops and meetings on OP ICESCR capacity-building and advocacy in Benin and Togo
23 October Zimbabwe Law Officers Association Strategic Plan
18 November Fact finding mission to Zambia to establish facts around the legal, policy and operational environment of non-governmental organizations
27-28 November Civil society organizations workshop in Nigeria

UN interventions

5 March Oral statement in the Interactive Dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders in Southern Africa
30 April Submission on Malawi to the Human Rights Committee
3 June Oral statement to the Human Rights Council on freedom of expression at serious risk in Zimbabwe
5 March Oral statement in the Interactive Dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders in southern Africa
7 June Side event at the UN Human Rights Council: Evaluating Conditions for a Peaceful, Transparent, Free and Fair Elections in Zimbabwe

Asia-Pacific

Advocacy and other promotional work

14 January Op-Ed Nepal: opinion piece on duty to answer
9 February Seminar on the struggle for judicial independence in Myanmar
12 February Open letter to the Commonwealth Secretary General asking to change venue of the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting in Sri Lanka
15 February Seminar on prerogative units under the 2008 Constitution in Myanmar
21 February Open letter to the Cambodian Bar Association on freedom of expression
8 April Op-Ed Myanmar needs an effective legal system, and so do its foreign investors
5 May Op-Ed Thailand: look beyond the troubled South in lifting security laws
4 June Judicial dialogue conference on HIV, human rights and the law

Europe

Advocacy and other promotional work

29 January Formal meeting of the Consultative Forum on Fundamental Rights of FRONTEX
21 February Launch event for the ICJ Report on Reforming the Judiciary in Moldova – Prospects and Challenges
19 March Presentation at a Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe on corruption and the rule of law in Europe
19-22 March Participation in the 77th meeting of the Council of Europe’s Steering Committee for Human Rights
20 March Submission to the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe on corruption as a threat to the rule of law
26 March Roundtable seminar on the independence of the Bar in Central Asia in Kazakhstan
2 April Joint submission on EU access to ECHR
15 April Roundtable seminar on the reform of judicial disciplinary system in Russia

Alternative report on Indonesia’s implementation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
Questions and Answers: ASEAN Human Rights Declaration
Op-Ed: Justice for Pakistan’s “disappeared”
Seminar on the International Commercial Arbitration in Myanmar
Joint open letter regarding resumption of executions in Pakistan
Open letter to Bar Association in Cambodia on freedom of expression of lawyers
Thailand judicial colloquium on gender equality and women’s access to justice in Southeast Asia (ASEAN)
Briefing paper on Nepal: the Colonel Lama Case
ICJ and APP letter to UN Secretary General concerning ASEAN Summit, ASEAN Human Rights Declaration, and ASEAN Declaration on Violence against Women and Children
Legal memorandum on the Draft Guidelines on AICHR Relations with Civil Society Organizations (ASEAN)
Briefing paper on the Information and Communication Technology Act in Bangladesh
Roundtable to develop strategies on Sri Lanka for the March 2014 session of the Human Rights Council in Geneva
Open letter to elected parties and Congress leaders calling for a “Pledge to end the cycle of impunity in Nepal”
Legal memorandum on the case of Sombath Somphone in Lao PDR
Public statement on India Supreme Court decision decriminalizing consensual same-sex sexual conduct between adults
Written statement on justice compromised and impunity in Nepal
Submission to the Universal Periodic Review of Malaysia
Oral statement on the protection of the legal profession in Vietnam
Alternative report to the Human Rights Committee on Indonesia’s implementation of the ICCPR
Oral statement in the panel discussion on human rights risks in the garment sector supply chain in Bangladesh
Oral statement on lack of progress by Nepal on LPR recommendations on ending impunity
Oral statement on the lack of progress on accountability in Sri Lanka
Written statement to the Human Rights Council on the violations of the right to counsel of Viet Nam human rights defender Le Quoc Quan
List of issues submission to CESCR on Indonesia

UN interventions

Oral statement on the lack of progress by Nepal on LPR recommendations on ending impunity
Joint submission on EU access to ECHR
Roundtable seminar on the reform of judicial disciplinary system in Russia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 April</td>
<td>Open letter to all members of the Council of Europe on draft Protocol 15 to the ECHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 May</td>
<td>Informal meeting of the Consultative Forum on Fundamental Rights of FRONTEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>Brief analysis on international law and standards in CIS countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-21 June</td>
<td>Participation at OHCHR Expert meeting on migration, human rights and governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28 June</td>
<td>78th meeting of the Council of Europe’s Steering Committee for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 September</td>
<td>Participation and presentation at the civil society organizations’ annual consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 September</td>
<td>Training on migration and human rights in Serbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-19 September</td>
<td>Council of Europe – participation in Drafting Group “E” on the Reform of the Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Human Rights and the United Nations Special Rapporteur for Torture, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 October</td>
<td>ICJ and Amnesty International (AI) advocacy statement on the case X, Y and Z v. Minister voor Immigratie, Integratie en Asiel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 October</td>
<td>Council of Europe session to discuss a draft declaration on business and human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-23 October</td>
<td>Formal meeting of the Consultative Forum on Fundamental Rights of FRONTEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 November</td>
<td>Briefing on Spain to the Committee on Enforced Disappearances by ICJ Commissioner Justice José Antonio Pallín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-7 November</td>
<td>Training of Serbian NGO Praxis personnel on migration and economic, social and cultural rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-13 November</td>
<td>Mission to Tajikistan on independence of the legal profession and economic, social and cultural rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 November</td>
<td>Participation as expert organization in the OHCHR expert meeting on setting up of standards on human rights at international borders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 November</td>
<td>Presentation on counter-terrorism and human rights issues in Europe, at a EU civil society seminar forming part of the EU-Africa human rights dialogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 November</td>
<td>Doughty Street Chambers conference on current issues in refugee law in London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-29 November</td>
<td>Participation in the 79th meeting of the Council of Europe’s Steering Committee for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6 December</td>
<td>EU Civil Society Forum, participation as panellist on lessons on accountability for ESCR violations in Brussels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legal submissions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 February</td>
<td>Third party intervention before the Grand Chamber of the European Court of Human Rights in the case Del Río Prada v Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 February</td>
<td>Third party intervention before the European Court of Human rights in the case Sulis Masa v Malta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 February</td>
<td>Legal opinion on disciplinary proceedings against lawyers in Kazakhstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 February</td>
<td>ICJ and AI supplementary submissions before the European Court of Human Rights in the case Al Nashri v Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 February</td>
<td>ICJ and ECCHR second submission to Committee of Ministers on implementation of MSS v Belgium and Greece and related cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 March</td>
<td>ICJ and AI third party intervention before the European Court of Human Rights in the case Al Nashri v Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 April</td>
<td>ICJ and AI third party intervention before the European Court of Human Rights in the case Abu Zubaydah v Lithuania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 September</td>
<td>Joint submission on EU draft regulation on surveillance of external sea borders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 September</td>
<td>Joint briefing paper on EU accession to the ECHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 October</td>
<td>ICJ and the Open Society Justice Initiative third party intervention before the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Gorius v. Macedonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 October</td>
<td>Submission to the Committee against Torture comments on the Second Periodic Report of Kyrgyzstan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 October</td>
<td>ICJ and AI third party intervention before the European Court of Human Rights in the case Abu Zubaydah v Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 October</td>
<td>Submission of a case on torture from Kazakhstan to the Special Rapporteur on Torture (confidential)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 December</td>
<td>Amicus curiae before the High Court of Ireland in the case Foy v Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 November</td>
<td>Submission to the Universal Periodic Review of Malta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 March</td>
<td>Joint submission to the UN Committee on Enforced Disappearances on the respect by France of the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 March</td>
<td>Mission to gather information on the independence of judiciary in Uruguay and Brazil, and to discuss how the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance applies to non-state actors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 March</td>
<td>Guatemala: workshops and courses on the prosecution of international crimes in the national legal system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 June</td>
<td>Guatemala: international conference on impunity and independence of the judiciary and transition of rule of law institutions in Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 September</td>
<td>Workshops on judicial independence and the functioning of judicial institutions of Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 September-4 October</td>
<td>Launch and advocacy mission for El Salvador access to justice report, and mission to Uruguay and Guatemala, respectively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legal submissions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 November</td>
<td>Submission to UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights on a list of issues for the examination of El Salvador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 March</td>
<td>ICJ and Centre for Reproductive Rights (CRR) alternative report on women’s access to therapeutic abortions in Peru to the Human Rights Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 March</td>
<td>ICJ oral statement on the adoption of the UPR Outcome Document on Guatemala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 September</td>
<td>Oral statement on the case of Judge María Lourdes Afiuni in Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 September</td>
<td>Oral statement to the Supreme Court of Justice in Colombia, on the need to ensure accountability and access to justice in Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 September</td>
<td>Oral statement on accountability of Canadian extractive industries for human rights impact overseas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Middle East and North Africa**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 March</td>
<td>Joint mission to Morocco on reforms of the judiciary and international law and standards in the region of North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 April</td>
<td>ICJ, FIDH and EMHRN joint memorandum on the reform of the judiciary in Morocco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 April</td>
<td>Mission to Egypt on the right to remedy and reparation for gross human rights violations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 April</td>
<td>Joint conference on the right to remedy and reparations and accountability for gross human rights violations in Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 January</td>
<td>Advocacy mission in Tunisia on the draft Constitution and international law and standards in the region of North Africa and Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 March</td>
<td>Trail observation mission in United Arab Emirates for human rights and the rule of law in the United Arab Emirates and for international law and standards in the region of North Africa and Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 April</td>
<td>Joint mission to Morocco on reforms of the judiciary and international law and standards in the region of North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 April</td>
<td>ICJ, FIDH and EMHRN joint memorandum on the reform of the judiciary in Morocco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Advocacy and other promotional work**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 March</td>
<td>ICJ and Centre for Reproductive Rights (CRR) alternative report on women’s access to therapeutic abortions in Peru to the Human Rights Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 April</td>
<td>ICJ, FIDH and EMHRN joint memorandum on the reform of the judiciary in Morocco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 April</td>
<td>Mission to Egypt on the right to remedy and reparation for gross human rights violations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 April</td>
<td>Joint conference on the right to remedy and reparations and accountability for gross human rights violations in Egypt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 May
Letter to Tunisian Minister of Interior and Minister of Justice regarding the protection of Tunisian judge Justice Katholoum Kenou against death threats

23 June
Mission to Libya to assess the rule of law and human rights situation

26 August
Mission to Egypt to examine the rule of law and human rights situation following the overthrow of President Morsi

18 November
Mission to Morocco to advocate for the independence of the judiciary

21 November
Monaco: release of report on access to justice for economic, social and cultural rights

22 November
Regional Colloquium on women and the judiciary in the MENA region in Tunis

29 November
Conference on the role of the judiciary in times of crisis: the cases of Libya and Tunisia

6 December
ICJ and AIi express concern over the trial in Algeria of Mohamed Belbouri amid torture allegations

UN interventions

11 March
Oral statement to the Human Rights Council calling for the Security Council to refer the situation in Syria to the International Criminal Court and effective humanitarian measures

18 March
Oral statement to the Human Rights Council supporting the findings of fact-finding mission on Israeli settlements

29 May
Oral statement to the Human Rights Council on the human rights situation in Syria and the need for referral to the International Criminal Court

10 June
Oral statement to the Human Rights Council on arbitrary detention and illegal settlements in the OPT

16 September
Oral statement to the Human Rights Council on the need to protect the civilian population in Syria

17 September
Oral statement to the Human Rights Council on human rights and the rule of law in Egypt

Global

Advocacy and other promotional work

13 March
Conference on Strengthening Cooperation in Preventing Terrorism in Azerbaijan

22 March
ICJ honored by Dalia Lama

11 June
Event on the Arms Trade Treaty in Geneva

19 June
New global principles on national security and the right to information launched

11 September
Event on promoting accountability through human rights bodies in Geneva

26 August
Briefing on the International Code of Conduct for PMSCs presentation on the value of and challenges in the implementation of the Code of Conduct at the Geneva Academy

4 October
Workshop with TRIAL for Geneva-based NGOs on promoting accountability through the Human Rights Bodies in Geneva

7 October
Expert meeting on draft OHCHR paper on corporate liability for gross human rights violations

14-16 October
Comments on the General Comment on the right to liberty under article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

29 October
International crimes conference presentation on prosecution of corporations in The Hague

4 November
Lecture on counter-terrorism and human rights at the Geneva Academy

4 November
Expert meeting with APT

5 November
Joint letter to candidates to the Human Rights Council to uphold the highest standards of respect of human rights

5-7 November
ESCR-Net Peoples’ Forum on Business and Human Rights consultation on a new international instrument

8 November
Working group on enforced and involuntary disappearances: expert consultation on ESCR

29 November
Keynote addressing the launch of the OSCE manual on Human Rights in Counter-Terrorism Investigations

2 December
Book launch event, Human rights obligations of business: beyond the corporate responsibility to protect?

3-5 December
Expert meeting on the use of drones at the Geneva Academy

4 December
Forum panel on business impacts on the rights of the child in Geneva

5 December
Civil society organizations strategic meeting in the margins of the BHR Forum in Geneva

5-6 December
Geneva Forum on women in the judiciary

9 December
Legal memorandum on targeted killings

17 December
Seminar series on the rights on sexual orientation at UNOG in Geneva

UN interventions

1 February
Statement on the creation of an international regulatory framework on the regulation, monitoring and oversight of the activities of private military and security companies

6 February
Legislative restrictions on “homosexual propaganda” as a threat to LGBT human rights defenders

11 February
Statement on access to justice and rights to a remedy in international and regional human rights systems

19 February
ICJ and Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES) submission to the UN Committee on the elimination of discrimination against women

19 March
Oral statement on ratification to the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)

7 May
Written statement to the Human Rights Council on corporate complicity and access to justice

5 June
Joint oral statement to the Human Rights Council on detention of individuals at Guantanamo Bay and Bagram airbase

7 June
Joint statement to raise awareness over the integrity of UPR reports

10 June
Written statement to the Human Rights Council on the use and operation of truth commissions

22 August
Written statement on the selection criteria for Human Rights Council special procedures

10 September
Oral statement on the regulation of private military and security companies in national and international law

13 September
Oral statement on the judicial supervision and prompt access to a lawyer for persons deprived of liberty

11 November
Written submission and oral presentation to the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention concerning its preparation of draft principles and guidelines on remedies and procedures on the right of anyone denied of his or her liberty to challenge the detention before a court
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Access to Justice: Report on Business and Human Rights Abuses in Peru
The report (in Spanish) reviews the country’s existing legal remedies under constitutional law, labour law, civil law and criminal law, and examines the way they operate in practice in the light of concrete cases.

Authority without Accountability: The Search for Justice in Pakistan
The report presents a detailed assessment of the Court’s human rights jurisprudence during Chief Justice Chaudhry’s tenure and provides recommendations to build on its work.

Authority without Accountability: The Struggle for Justice in Nepal
The report explains how the historic system of statutory immunities in Nepal, compounded by the lack of political will, has prevented victims of human rights violations from obtaining effective remedy and reparation for their injuries.

Corporate Complicity, Access to Justice and the International Legal Framework for Corporate Accountability
Report of the Legal Seminar of specific cases that illustrate many of the legal and political obstacles that victims of corporate human rights abuse face in their pursuit of justice.

El Salvador: Access to Justice for ESCR
The study illustrates that providing access to courts and legal remedies is a fundamental element of any strategy to realize economic, social and cultural rights and to combat poverty and social inequalities.

Improving Access to Justice: The Role of the Domestic Court
This is the report of the Third Geneva Forum for Judges and Lawyers, which took place on 13 December 2012 and focused on the role of the domestic court in improving access to justice.

Mass Convictions Following an Unfair Trial: the UAE 94 Case
In this report, the ICJ details a catalogue of violations of fair trial rights and other serious human rights violations committed against those detained in the context of the UAE 94 trial.

Morocco: Access to Justice for ESCR
The report identifies and describes significant gaps and issues in the normative, institutional and remedial frameworks that deprive many Moroccans from accessing justice in cases of violations of ESCR, and from enjoying their right to an effective remedy when facing such violations.

Promoting Accountability Through Human Rights Bodies in Geneva
The publication considers the potential of Geneva-based human rights bodies in the fight against impunity and in promoting accountability of individuals responsible for crimes and gross human rights violations under international law.

Reforming the Judiciary in Moldova: Prospect and Challenges
In this report the ICJ suggest that reform of the Moldovan judicial system needs further commitment from parliament, government, the judiciary and judicial bodies, if recent legislative measures are to bring real change.

Reforming the Judiciary in Morocco
In this report the ICJ analyses the independence of the judiciary in Morocco, in light of international human rights standards.

Right to Counsel: The Independence of Lawyers in Myanmar
The ICJ report is based on interviews with lawyers in practice in Myanmar.

Russian Translation: Judges, Lawyers and Prosecutors Guide

SOGI Legal Databases
The ICJ launched two legal tools: the Sexual Orientation & Gender Identity UN Database and the Sexual Orientation & Gender Identity Legislative Database.

South Sudan: an Independent Judiciary in an Independent State
The study analyses the current state of South Sudan’s judiciary in statutory courts and the legal profession, in light of international standards on the independence of judges and lawyers.

The Crisis of the Judicial Leadership in the Kingdom of Lesotho
The report, resulting from an ICJ mission in March, highlights the necessity of a resolution of the judicial leadership crisis.

The Independence of the Legal Profession in Central Asia
The report assesses the challenges to the independence of lawyers in Central Asia, and the barriers lawyers in the region face in providing effective legal assistance to their clients.

Tunisia: Enhancing the Rule of Law and Guaranteeing Human Rights in the Constitution
The ICJ calls on the authorities, especially the National Constituent Assembly to adopt a constitution that takes account of the full range of views of the Tunisian people and adheres to international law and standards.

Uzbek Translation: International and National Fair Trial Standards Guide
The ICJ published the Uzbek translation of its guide on international fair trial standards and criminal procedure in Uzbekistan.

Women’s Access to Justice in Botswana: Identifying the Obstacles and Need for Change
The report assesses some of the challenges faced by women in Botswana when seeking justice and legal protection for human rights abuses and other wrongful conduct.

Women’s Access to Justice in Kazakhstan: Identifying the Obstacles and Need for Change
The report details the way in which international human rights law obliges Kazakhstan to take effective and meaningful steps to ensure its legal framework adequately deals with discrimination and violence against women.
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