

IMMIGRATION APPEALS BOARD DIVISION II

Members:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Representatives:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Inspector [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

DO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

21 April 2022

[REDACTED] appeared in representation of the appellant, who was also present.

Inspector [REDACTED] appeared in representation of the PIO.

[REDACTED] acted as interpreter.

[REDACTED] said that he received no reply from the PIO regarding the appellant's request for an alternative to detention.

Inspector [REDACTED] said that the PIO was waiting the outcome of the Age Assessment appeal before deciding on the appellant's request. This is also in view that an IPA decision has not yet been submitted. He said that once an IPA application is submitted, the PIO will consider the appellant's request.

[REDACTED] said that the IPA application had been submitted by the appellant.

Inspector [REDACTED] said that the appellant had given the authorities two different dates of birth and that the AWAS assessment concluded that he was an adult. Given the controversy about the appellant's age, the PIO wishes to await the outcome of the Age Assessment appeal.

Dr [REDACTED] said that the appellant had consistently claimed that he was a minor. He said that the appellant was being kept alone in a container and such treatment was not beneficial for his mental health. He said that an alternative to detention was very important in the appellant's case.

The sitting is adjourned for 23 June 2022 at 14.00, for the judgement.